Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., P.E., Chairman Toby Baker, Commissioner Zak Covar, Commissioner Richard A. Hyde, P.E., Executive Director



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

April 24, 2015

The Honorable Tano E. Tijerina County Judge of Webb County 1000 Houston Street, 3rd Floor Laredo, Texas 78040

Re:

Proposed Agreed Order

Webb County; RN101717601; TPDES Permit No. WQ0013577003 Docket No. 2015-0239-MWD-E; Enforcement Case No. 50064

FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY

Dear Judge Tijerina:

The Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") is pursuing an enforcement action against Webb County for violations of the Texas Water Code and Commission Rules. These violations were discovered during a record review conducted on December 3, 2014, and documented in a letter dated January 5, 2015, from the TCEQ Compliance Monitoring Section.

Please find enclosed a proposed agreed order which we have prepared in an attempt to expedite this enforcement action. The order assesses an administrative penalty of Thirteen Thousand Six Hundred Forty-Two Dollars (\$13,642). We are proposing a one time offer to defer Two Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$2,728) of the administrative penalty if you satisfactorily comply with all the ordering provisions within the time frames listed. Therefore, the administrative penalty to be paid is Ten Thousand Nine Hundred Fourteen Dollars (\$10,914). The order also identifies the violations that we are addressing and identifies specific technical requirements necessary to resolve them.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, we are available to discuss them in a conference in Austin or over the telephone. If we reach agreement in a timely manner, the TCEQ will then proceed with the remaining procedural steps to settle this matter. These steps include publishing notice of the proposed order in the *Texas Register*, and scheduling the matter for approval by the Commission. We believe that handling this matter expeditiously could save Webb County and the TCEQ a significant amount of time, as well as the expense associated with litigation.

Enclosed for your convenience is a return envelope. If you agree with the order as proposed, please sign and return the original order **and** the penalty payment (check payable to "TCEQ" and referencing Webb County, Docket No. 2015-0239-MWD-E) to:

The Honorable Tano E. Tijerina Page 2 April 24, 2015

> Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

Should you believe you are unable to pay the proposed administrative penalty, you may claim financial inability to pay part or all of the penalty amount. In order to qualify for financial inability to pay, the penalty must exceed \$3,600 and be greater than 1% of annual gross revenues. If this is the case, please contact us immediately to obtain a list of financial disclosure documents that must be submitted within 30 days of the receipt of this letter. These documents, once properly completed and submitted, will be thoroughly reviewed to determine if we agree with the claim of financial inability. Please be aware that if financial inability is proven to the satisfaction of staff, discussions pertaining to the penalty amount adjustment will focus only on deferral and not on waiver of the penalty amount.

You may be able to perform or contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"), which is a project that benefits the environment, to offset a portion of your penalty. If you are interested in performing a SEP, you must agree to the penalty amount and submit a SEP proposal within 30 days of receipt of this proposed order. If you are a local government you may have additional SEP options available to assist you with coming into compliance or remediating the harm caused by the violations. A local government is defined as a school district, county, municipality, junior college district, river authority, water district or other special district or other political subdivision created under the constitution or a statute of this state.

For additional information about the types of SEPs available and eligibility criteria, please go to the TCEQ's web site link at http://www.tceq.texas.gov/legal/sep/ or contact the Enforcement Coordinator listed below.

Please note that any agreements we reach are subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(a).

If we cannot reach a settlement of this enforcement action or you do not wish to participate in this expedited process, we will proceed with enforcement under the Commission's Enforcement Rules, 30 Tex. Admin. Code ch. 70. Specifically, if the signed order and penalty are not mailed and postmarked within 60 days from the date of this letter, your case will be forwarded to the Litigation Division and this settlement offer, including the penalty deferral, will no longer be available. The enforcement process described in 30 Tex. Admin. Code ch. 70 requires the staff to prepare and issue an Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition to the Commission. If you would like to obtain a copy of 30 Tex. Admin. Code ch. 70, or any other TCEQ rules, the rules themselves and the agency brochure entitled *Obtaining TCEQ Rules* (GI-032) are located on our agency website at http://www.tceq.texas.gov for your reference. If you would like a hard copy of

The Honorable Tano E. Tijerina Page 3 April 24, 2015

this brochure mailed to you, you may call and request one from the Central Office Publications Ordering Team at (512) 239-0028.

For any questions or comments about this matter or to arrange a meeting, please contact Ms. Jill Russell of my staff at (512) 239-4564.

Sincerely,

Sandy Van Cleave, Manager

Enforcement Division

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

SV/jcr

Enclosures: Proposed Agreed Order, Return Envelope, Penalty Calculation Worksheet,

Effluent Violations Table, Site Compliance History

cc: Mr. Luis Perez-Garcia, P.E., Webb County Engineer, 1620 Santa Ursula, 2nd

Floor, Laredo, Texas 78040

The Honorable Tano E. Tijerina Page 4 April 24, 2015

bcc:

Manager, Water Section, Laredo Regional Office

Ms. Jill Russell, Coordinator, Enforcement Division, MC 169
Central Records, MC 213, Building E, 1st Floor
MWD/WQ0013577003/CO/04-24-2015 /Proposed Agreed Order
Enforcement Division Reader File

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
WEBB COUNTY	§	
RN101717601	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2015-0239-MWD-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Webb County ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located approximately 2,000 feet east of the Rio Grande, 10,000 feet west of United States Highway 83 and approximately 13,000 feet south-southwest from the intersection of United States Highway 83 and Hein Mangana Road in Webb County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under Tex. Water Code ch. 26.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about January 10, 2015.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Thirteen Thousand Six Hundred Forty-Two Dollars (\$13,642) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Ten Thousand Nine Hundred Fourteen Dollars (\$10,914) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$2,728) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that By January 27, 2015, the Respondent submitted complete discharge monitoring reports ("DMRs") for the months of April, May, June, July, and August 2014; and the complete annual sludge report for 2014.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to timely submit DMRs at the intervals specified in the permit, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(17) and 319.7(d), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0013577003, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1, as documented during a record review conducted on December 3, 2014. Specifically, the DMRs for the months of April, May, June, July, and August 2014 were not submitted by the 20th day of the following month.

- 2. Failed to timely submit the annual sludge report, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0013577003, Sludge Provisions, Section III.G, as documented during a record review conducted on December 3, 2014. Specifically, the annual sludge report for 2014 was not submitted by September 30, 2014.
- 3. Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and TPDES Permit

 No. WQ0013577003, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 3, as documented during a record review conducted on December 3, 2014, and shown in the table below.

Effluent Violations Table									
	Escherichia coli DAV Concentration	Escherichia coli DMAX Concentration	pH Minimum						
Month/ Year	Limit = 126 CFU/100 ml	Limit = 394 CFU/100 ml	Limit = 6 SU						
November 2013	772	816	c						
January 2014	c	· c	5.3						

DAV = daily average, DMAX = daily maximum CFU/100 ml = colony forming units per one hundred milliliters mg/L = milligrams per liter, c = compliant, SU = standard units

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Webb County, Docket No. 2015-0239-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, update the Facility's operational guidance and conduct employee training to ensure that all reporting procedures are properly accomplished, including the timely submittal of DMRs and sludge reports, in accordance with TPDES Permits No. WQ0013577003, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1 and Sludge Provisions.
 - b. Within 45 after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.d below.
 - c. Within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. WQ0013577003, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.d below.
 - d. The certifications required by Ordering Provision Nos. 2.b and 2.c shall include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance and shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Webb County DOCKET NO. 2015-0239-MWD-E Page 5

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Laredo Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 707 East Calton Road, Suite 304 Laredo, Texas 78041-3887

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing",

Webb County DOCKET NO. 2015-0239-MWD-E Page 6

and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code \S 1.002.

8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

Webb County DOCKET NO. 2015-0239-MWD-E Page 7

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMEN	NTAL QUALITY
For the Commission	 '.
For the Executive Director	Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behind agree to the terms and conditions specified	and the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to alf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I fied therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in , is materially relying on such representation.
 and/or failure to timely pay the penalty am A negative impact on compliance hi Greater scrutiny of any permit applies 	istory; ications submitted;
 additional penalties, and/or attorne Increased penalties in any future en 	General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, by fees, or to a collection agency; aforcement actions; General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
 and TCEQ seeking other relief as author 	· ·
Signature	Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Webb County	Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) DATES Assigned 5-Feb-2015 PCW 31-Mar-2015 Screening 6-Feb-2015 EPA Due 5-Mar-2015 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Webb County Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101717601 Facility/Site Region 16-Laredo Major/Minor Source Major CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 50064 No. of Violations 4 Docket No. 2015-0239-MWD-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Water Quality Government/Non-Profit Yes Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Jill Russell EC's Team Enforcement Team 3 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$12,750 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 ::: Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$892 Enhancement for one month of self-reported effluent violations and one Notes dissimilar NOV. 0.0% Enbancement Culpability No Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 Economic Benefit Subtotal 6 \$0 Total EB Amounts \$1,061 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Estimated Cost of Compliance \$10,400 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$13,642 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

20.0% Reduction Adjustment

\$13,642

\$13,642

-\$2,728

\$10,914

Notes

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

1.转变5克性缺乏 的复数电流转换电池

DEFERRACT IN THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF

PCW

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent Webb County

Case ID No. 50064 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101717601 Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Jill Russell

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Con	npliance Histo Component	ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
	NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%	r y en
	,	Other written NOVs	1	2%	į
		Any-agreed-final-enforcement-orders-containing-a-denial-of-liability-(number-of-orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	. 0	0%	
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%	
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
	Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
		Ple	ase Enter Yes or No		1
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	of desired
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	. 0%	**************************************
		Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	Bredtsrad
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	To the state of th
		Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)	7%
>>. Rep	eat Violator (Subfotal 3)	igystriki:		
	. No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)	0%
>> Con	ipliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			1555
•	Satisfactory (Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7) [0%
>> Con	ipliance Histo	ry Summary			GO GULLANTA
	Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one month of self-reported effluent violations and one dissin	milar NOV,		-
>> Final	Compliance H	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S listory Adjustment Final Adjustment Percenta	Grand Congress of the	e progenica	7% 7%

Screening Date		Docket	No. 2015-0239-MWD-E	₹PCW ↓
Respondent				Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.				PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Media [Statute]				The state of the s
Enf. Coordinator				
Violation Number				
	 			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code §	305.125(17) and 319,	7(d), and Texas Pollutant Disc	:harge
	Elimination System	Reporting Requireme	WQ0013577003, Monitoring ar	1a ·
		Reporting Requireme	3	
	·	•		
T manager of	Failed to timely subm	it discharge monitoring	reports ("DMRs") at the Interv	vals
Violation Description	specified in the pern	nit, as documented duri	ng a record review conducted	on I
,	December 3, 2014. Spe		the months of April, May, June 20th day of the following mon	
And the second s	and August 2014 Wei	re not submitted by the	20th day of the following mor	iui.
			D D-	- H
			Base Pe	enalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Hea	alth Matrix		a supplied to the supplied to
Release	Harn Major Madaw			
OR Actual		ate Minor		
Potential	[Percent 0.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification	Major Modera		Damant Francisco	
		X	Percent 1.0%	
				
Matrix	At least 70%	of the rule requirement	s were met.	•
Notes		,		
				
			∦Adjustment \$2	24,750
· ·				\$250
			and the second s	
Violation Events				
Number of \	Violation Events 5	26	Number of violation days	•
			Namber of Vibiation days	,
	agaidally.			
	- # weekly =			
mark only one	monthly			
with an x	== quarterly		Violation Base Pe	enalty \$1,250
The state of the s	semiannual : ===annual====			
e constant	single event X	****		
	Constitution of the Control of the C			
	Five single events are re	commended, one for ea	ich delinquent report.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	plý o.	0%	Redi	uction \$0
Color a Lander	Before NOE/	NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/S	ettlement Offer	
	Extraordinary			
7 04460	Ordinary			
	N/A <u>X</u>	(mark with x)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
and the state of t	Notes The Resp		the good faith criteria for	
	Hotes	this violati	on.	
\$ 600.000	L			
tour de la constant d			Violation Sub	stotal \$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit Te	et de la company
		or to majorina Calebra (1994) Portivity	was a resurviyy in michel	PLANTERS PROPERTY AND
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$16	Violation Final Penalty	Total \$1,338
*	This	violation Final Assess	sed Penalty (adjusted for li	mits) \$1,338
	en e			41,536

11 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1	E	conomic	Benefit	Work	ksheet		manifest in the second
Respondent		art errenanter	श्चाकरणास्य जनसङ्ख्या	nestar.	~~ == == ==============================	an 154 hawaiin Malaytiakay (an Sias Li	는 마음 배향 (배)으로 + 1+ 중6 라스트
Case ID No.	50064						
eg. Ent. Reference No.		L					
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
	āvarrevai:		URBARIST	ar Potr	Kentainin	5.0	15
			g againg a property of the Elevis Datasi	Vent Ti		The second of th	
	Franklin in the last of the last of	en en la comitación de la	Final Pate	115 LI	iterest save	d > Onetime Costs	EB AMOUNT
Item Description	No commas or \$	4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.	新新台灣為時期	Ma Harris	Sada Marana. Latita a		sor i month sig f
The state of the s	e compressed and interest interestations	CONT. A. S. BOTTO MODE - EUROPEAN CONTRACTION.	erii naeva akkreeriinininingi kara esab	ti kanakanimining	nterview terrorises the Associate Associate	n o vakon orga on alakter organisas en medicina alam o	APRIL - Sec. No. 1 Sec. at 12 Control
Delayed Costs	- No. 16 16 - 75 75 540 C	miterial responsibility	THE WAY SERVICE THE STREET	indiana Panin dan	THE REPORT OF		
Equipment				0,00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)			ļ	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System	\$250	3-Dec-2014	17-Nov-2015	0.96	\$12	n/a	\$12
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal	\$250	J. 3-DEC-2014	17-1100-2013	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$12
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$125	20-May-2014	27-Jan-2015	0.69	\$4	n/a	\$4
Notes for DELAYED costs	Date Required to submit the	is the record rev	riew date. The f (\$25 per report	inal Date). Date r	is the expect equired is the	Ibmittal of DMRs and ed compliance date. E date the first DMR wa	stimated cost
	SURVEY A NINITAL	17E [1] synlder	l'costs before	antaring	Itam (avcan	t for one-time avoic	led coefe)
Avoided Costs	AITHORE			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
•					•		
Notes for AVOIDED costs	li .						
	1						
	<u> </u>						
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$375	1	79	ТОТА	ή (\$16
	1	33/3	ı	2.94	TOTA	L-1	\$+1C

Screening Date		Docket No. 2015-0239-MWD-E	PCW :
Respondent			Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			
Media [Statute]			Tables in
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number	JIII Kussell		
Rule Cite(s)			
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.12	5(17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0013577003,	Sludge
and to analysis	F	Provisions, Section III.G	
a comment of the state of the s			 1
Violation Description	review conducted on Decembe	nnual sludge report, as documented during a re er 3, 2014. Specifically, the annual sludge repo submitted by September 30, 2014.	ecord ort for
es-open es en entre estado en estado		Base Po	enalty \$25,000
SS Environmental Proper	treated the same treates M		
>> Environmental, Proper	ty, and, numan nearth m Harm	BUX	
Release	Major Moderate	Minor .	
OR Actual			
Potential Potential		Percent 0.0%	
		WWW.har amonoportanizations (but - Assault	
>>Programmatic Matrix			T. SAME
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	
		X Percent 1,0%	
		-	
Matrix	At longt 7004 of the	wide wear the man by	
Notes	At least 70% of the	rule requirement was met.	·
		Adjustment \$	24,750
And the state of the state of energy described in the state of the sta		1 Anjuguinent 1	24,730]
		•	\$250
		erikanski folkolik i filom pomiramov () na 14 km/deki (4 d. nak mrodumov a kumalov u 4 km/deki produktura su s	(21)
Violation Events			
Number of \	/iolation Events 1	410 Number of deletter day	_
Nomber of V	Tolation Events 1	119 Number of violation day	S
3 m	daily		Topic Control of the
nh-rayer,	weekly		Property
	monthly		
mark only one with an x	guarteriy	Violation Base Pe	enalty \$250
1	semlannual.		
	annual .		1 1
Participation of the Control of the	single event X		
			Liver
	One sheets and		
	One single eve	ent is recommended.	
<u> </u>			
Good Faith Efforts to Com	0.0%	Pad	uction \$0
Process and has an of Carolina and an office and the Carolina Caro		DE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	Getion 4 4 4
(CVVV)	Extraordinary		
1	Ordinary		1
sa comprise	N/A X (m	ark with x)	
est	The Deeper deep	t door not most the good 5-111-111 C	
	Notes The Responden	t does not meet the good falth criteria for this violation.	
	<u>L</u>	CRE VIOLECTE	†
4			
e i	•	Violation Sul	ototal \$250
Economic Benefit (EB) for	thicviolation	CILCIPETY COLUMN TO THE COLUMN	
	THE VIOLATION FOR THE PARTY OF	Statutory Limit Te	SC
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$0 Violation Final Penalty	Total \$268
1	}		4200
. The stade of section (C. S. 1984) and the S. S. 1984 and S. S. S. 1984 and S.	This violat	ion Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for li	mits) \$268

	E	conomic	Benefit	Ŵο	rksheet.		
Respondent	and the first and a second	Common track to the track to the characters of	tions at all of the For	ga ma	CONTRACTOR STATES	ar ar Gall Michiga Ar ar Allian a Cip diverse a	Branding a flantish de dit dilak di
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.	• •					Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation ito.	i Z Listo officional listo	. Na tala separatus	a daya daya karasa	water by	gardas perudanaki	(1986) 1986	
	late, olar a laktata artumpo Laktata	. r. Auseros,	and a minimum to be a supplement	All hardens	en jardai kima iplikiline kutu	5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$		學的學科學			建设定理等的。但	
Delayed Costs	能影響的影響的				Wester The Street		
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	.\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
EngineerIng/Construction				0.00	\$0	· \$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	ujuta ≾in/a saatay	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	White n/attend	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/au====	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	Maria in Araban da Maria da M Maria da Maria da Ma	\$0
Permit Costs	+ O.F.	30 6 6014	02.1 00.5	0.00	. \$0	di este n/assissi.	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$25	30-5eb-2014	27-Jan-2015	0.33	* \$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	the annual slu	dge report was d	ue. The Final Da	te Is th	ne date the delingi	eport). Date Require Jent report was sub annual sludge repor	mitted. See
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	ZE [1] avoided	costs before	nterir	a item (except f	or one-time avoid	
Disposal			20,010	0.00	\$0	\$0 I	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0,00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs			-				
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$25			ТОТАЦ		\$0

Screening Date		Doci	ket No. 2015-0239-MWD-E		PCW
Respondent				Policy Revision	4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.				PCW Revision N	1arch 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute]					
Enf. Coordinator					
Violation Number		1			
Rule Cite(s)					
C (1) The second	Tex. Water C Permit N	ode § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. lo. WQ0013577003, Interim f Requiremer	Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Ti Effluent Limitations and Monitoring hts No. 1	PDES.	
Violation Description			itations, as documented during a 4, and shown in the attached table		200
			Base P	enalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Hun				1
Release	Major	Harm Moderate Minor			
OR Actual	Major	X · Millor	•		
Potential			Percent 30.0%		
					:
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major	Moderate Minor	The state of the s		
Faisilcation	Major	Moderate Minor	Percent 0.0%		
			rescent 0.0%		
Matrix poliutants e	xceeded protect ounts of polluta	tive levels. Human health or	e whether the discharged amounts the environment has been expose is that are protective of human he t of the violation.	d to	Manager incomplete at the control of
		TO DE AMERICA SERVICIO DE LA COMPANSIONE DEL COMPANSIONE DEL COMPANSIONE DE LA COMPA	Adjustment \$	17,500	
	California, 2007. Para California, California, California, California, California, California, California, Cal	magnetical managed and a significant and the top of the significant and the significant and the significant and	The state of the s		
s dependence					\$7,500
Violation Events	e Chronesta			Ture received	
			to the business of the second	STATE OF THE STATE	
Number of V	lolation Events	1	30 Number of violation day	S	
mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	X	· Violation Base Pe	enalty	\$7,500
	One monthly ev	ent is recommended for the r	month of November 2013.		The state of the s
Good Faith Efforts to Comp		0.0%		úction	\$0
	r	efore NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPR	P/Settlement Offer		
	Extraordinary				
:	Ordinary N/A	Y (mark with w)			
5 ? *	N/A	X I(mark with x)			,
	Notes	The Respondent does not me this vio			
			Violation Sul	ototai	\$7,500
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violatic	in	Statutory Limit Te	st.	
	d EB Amount	\$1,044	Violation Final Penalty	•	\$8,025
				10-77-11-1	Ψ0,023
		This violation Final As	sessed Penalty (adjusted for li	mits)	\$8,025

可可能 的复数克尔斯 人名英格兰人姓氏格特特的 化多维基基格多维基格特	jahan para 🖿 🕻	conomic	Renetit:	wor	Ksneet∈		les samme on the production in
Respondent		Completion of the second section of	THE NATION OF LAMPING THE ST.	Action of charge err	no-eveniminaconas cama.	a verse taret, mear ver com mem men e	
Case ID No.							
eg. Ent. Reference No.						Anterior principal description and principal and principal description	Market and the second of the second of the second
	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	3	set punto le Rei de debidio en si	r . who have some cost issue	gertamort, kata	u po skumuje, ivakti odio eliti		Depreciation
	阿尔纳 泰索					5.0	15
	· Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs I	nterest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						vote Albert
And the state of t							
Delayed Costs	特别种理计划的	oganariya;	维尔维鲁特地				
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0,00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction		17.00		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Land	<u></u>			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 \$0	ir - n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal		<u> </u>			\$0		\$0
				0.00		n/az	
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$10,000 Estimated cos	30-Nov-2013 t to determine th	1-Jan-2016 e cause of nonc	0.00 2.09 ompliance	\$0 \$1.044 e and to make a	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apliance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 ompliance permitte expected	\$0 \$1.044 e and to make a d effluent limital compliance date	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs I is the first
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apliance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 ompliance permitte expected entering	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date) Item (except	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs I is the first
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apliance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 ompliance permitte xpected entering	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date i Item (except \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs I is the first ded costs)
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnal	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apliance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 ompliance permitte expected entering 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date) Item (except \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs I is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apliance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	o.co 2.09 ompliance permitte expected entering 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date) Item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apliance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	o.00 2.09 compliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a d effluent limital compliance date i Item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnal repection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apilance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	ompliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date) Item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs I is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apilance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	o.00 2.09 compliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a d effluent limital compliance date i Item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnal repection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apilance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 compliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date) Item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apilance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 compliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date 1 item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) *** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnal nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apilance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 compliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date 1 item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apilance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 compliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date 1 item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apilance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the e	0.00 2.09 compliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date 1 item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cos to the Facility date of nonco	t to determine the and to return to apilance. The Fir	e cause of nonc compliance with nal Date is the c costs before	0.00 2.09 compliance permitte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$1,044 e and to make a id effluent limital compliance date 1 item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ny necessary adjust tions. Date Required for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,044 ments/repairs d is the first ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

	ening Date			Doc	ket No. 2015-0239-MWD-E	- de la	PCW
	espondent						Revision 4 (April 2014)
Reg. Ent. Ref	ase ID No.					PCW R	evision March 26, 2014
	a [Statute]						
	oordinator						
	ation Number	4	1				
of a contract of the contract	Rule Cite(s)	Tay Water C	ode 5 26 121(a	\(1) 20 Toy	Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and	TDDEC	
1		Permit N	ode g 20.121(a lo, WO0013577	N1), 30 Tex.	Effluent Limitations and Monitori	no	
3				Requireme		פיי	
					The state of the s		
		Enlad to care	la sultia manualtia	ما محدا بمسالم	-16		
Violatio	n Description	review co	nducted on Dec	eu emuent im ember 3-201	nitations, as documented during a L4, and shown in the attached ta	a record	
					in one shows at the accepted to	510.	
		<u> </u>					
- Control of the Cont	,				Base	Penaity	\$25,000
>≥ Environme	atal Drone	tive and Hillia	an Baalekik				
A September 1998	iltol/: Flopei	ry and mun	Harm	Idlia			·
TOTAL PROPERTY.	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor			
OR	Actual			X			
	Potentla				Percent 15.0%		
>>Programma	tic Matrix				CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE STATE O		
ZIIOGIAIMMA	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor			
					Percent 0.0%		
Matrlx	Human healtl	n or the environ	ment has been	exposed to in	nsignificant amounts of pollutants	that do	
Notes	not exceed lev	els that are pro	tective of huma	in health or e	nvironmental receptors as a resu	ult of the	
(18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18)				violation.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			Memirida da mara da ma			+B4 0 E01	
vastusium viterus et elektristeri. L		1.20			Adjustment	\$21,250	:
						ſ	\$3,750
	Miespischerbeibeibeib					ngungagagaga	
Violation Event							
	Number of \	/lolation Events	1	F	31 Number of violation de	ave	
:				<u>!</u>	Tathbel of Moldelon de	uys	
		daily daily					
		weekly				,	
	mark only one	monthly					
de constante de la constante d	with an x	guarterly. semiannual	X		Violation Base	Penalty	\$3,750
- Angeles		annual			•		
		single event					
		Manager of the contract of the					
J-1	_						
	One quarte	erly event is reco	ommended for t	the quarter co	ontaining the month of January 2	014.	
				······································			
Good Faith Effo	erts to Comi	olv Walter	0.0%		p	eduction	\$0
				OE/NOV to EDP	RP/Settlement Offer	euucuonii.	40
		Extraordinary					
		Ordinary					
		N/A	X (n	nark with x)			
			The Responder	nt does not m	eet the good faith criteria for		
		Notes			olation.		
		Ĭī.					
					Violation S	ubtotal	\$3,750
The state of the s	· The Article Management of the State of the	er film man en en state en man en	SEAGLAND LANGUAGE SERVICE CONTRACTOR OF THE	Makendare partamentamentare	and the state of the selection of the property states. The selection states are selected as		
Economic Bene	nt (EB) for	this violatio	naca da k		Statutory Limit 7	[est	
	Estimate	d EB Amount		\$0	Violation Final Penal	tv Total [\$4,013
							ψ 170±3
i Digo ografiya harin baasa kasa a			This viola	tion Final As	ssessed Penalty (adjusted for	· limits)[\$4,013
Marian (Marian) orania salah besah arah di Afrik	delli alisa shin ke saaka dah			en version in the	70.65 (31.52) (A.M. 1912 - 1956) (31.64)	90 WHE 21	

	LCON	omic gene	efit Work	sneet		álakátála-egyi-
Respondent	Webb County		a si ame meninging a sini sing single by . In a	na na marana and a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	same se se mente de desentations de la final de la fin	and the second s
Case ID No.						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.						
					Annual Control of the	Years of
The first the contract to the state of the contract of the con	Water Quality				Percent Interest	All the fire areas at the section in the
Violation No.) 4 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	All and the second of the second	E sant see a	of management of a		Depreciation
	the street on the second secon		the state of the same and the same of the same	vider, french	5.0	15
34 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Item Cost Date	Required Final	Date Yrs Int	terest Save	d Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Ttem Description	No commas or \$	rigina di dalah kelabi berbe	en Sugari dan Kesasi bari	S. Awar M.	5 ES 3 T.J. 186	
Item pesci ipitoli	Tallin collining or has a see	digitary in half patenting and share of its	But the state and and and an and	Line and the second	The state of the state of the state of the	The staff of Table 1 described
The second state of the second	NATIONAL SENSE AND THE SECOND CO. S. S. S. S. S. S.	S. Olege St.	Medical Property Strategic Company	Territologica belgar magista a	. Apply the Donald Apply to Maj Apply to the	and plant in an engineering to be
Delayed Costs	T. THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	Salastina di sella di sella sella	13474C355455	****	## ### ### ###########################	A Not Arthred Hornell
Equipment	ļ 		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings			0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)			0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction			0.00	\$0		\$0 \$0
Land			0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)			0.00	\$0	8\n	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		See Econon	nic Benefit describ	ed in Violatio	n No. 3.	
Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1	l] avoided costs b	efore entering it	tem (excep	for one-time avoic	led costs)
Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1	l] avoided costs b	efore entering it	tem (excep	t for one-time avoid	led costs)
The second secon	ANNUALIZE [1	l] avoided costs b		\$0 \$0		
Disposal Personnel	ANNUALIZE [1	l] avoided costs b	0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 %
Disposal Personnel	ANNUALIZE [1	l] avoided costs b	0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.4 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUALIZE [1	l] avoided costs b	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0.55 \$0 \$0.
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	ANNUALIZE.[1	l] avoided costs b	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUALIZE [1	lj avoided costs b	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	ANNUALIZE.[1	l) avoided costs b	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANNUALIZE.[1	L) avoided costs b	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

٠.

...____

_ <u>_t</u>_

Effluent Violations Table								
		ebb County						
	RN101717601; Do	ocket 2015-0239-MWD-E						
TPDES Permit No. WQ0013577003								
	Escherichia coli DAV Concentration	Escherichia coli DMAX Concentration	pH Minimum					
Month/ Year	Limit = 126 CFU/100 ml	Limit = 394 CFU/100 ml	Limit = 6 SU					
November 2013	772	816	c					
January 2014	c	С	5.3					

DAV = daily average, DMAX = daily maximum CFU/100 ml = colony forming units per one hundred milliliters mg/L = milligrams per liter, c = compliant, SU = standard units



CEQ Compliance History Report

REGIONAL WWTF

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600612758, RN101717601, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, CN600612758, Webb County or Owner/Operator:

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 1.74

Regulated Entity:

RN101717601, WEBB COUNTY

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 0.73

Rating Date: 09/01/2014

Complexity Points:

6

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

08 - Sewage Treatment Facilities

Location:

Approximately 2,000 feet east of the Rio Grande, 10,000 feet west of United States Highway 83 and

approximately 13,000 feet south-southwest from the intersection of United States Highway 83 and Hein

Mangana Road in Webb County, Texas

TCEQ Region:

REGION 16 - LAREDO

ID Number(s):

WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0013577003

WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0118443

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 78242

MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE NON PERMITTED ID NUMBER

UNA455160128

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: January 28, 2015

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: January 28, 2010 to January 28, 2015

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Jill Russell

Phone: (512) 239-4564

Rating Year: 2014

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees: N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1 February 16, 2010 (819384)Item 4 May 19, 2010 (836043)Item 2 March 18, 2010 (836041)Item 5 June 21, 2010 (847857) Item 3 April 19, 2010 (836042)Item 6 July 21, 2010 (868869)

Item 7	November 22, 2010	(930428)	Item 24	August 10, 2012	(1039870)
Item 8	December 15, 2010	(930429)	Item 25	September 19, 2012	(1048818)
Item 9	December 22, 2010	(898074)	Item 26	October 19, 2012	(1069694)
Item 10	January 06, 2011	(903975)	Item 27	November 19, 2012	(1069695)
Item 11	February 11, 2011	(910856)	Item 28	December 20, 2012	(1069696)
Item 12	May 19, 2011	(939831)	Item 29	February 20, 2013	(1082921)
Item 13	June 20, 2011	(947242)	Item 30	March 19, 2013	(1091206)
Item 14	July 18, 2011	(954503)	Item 31	April 19, 2013	(1097542)
Item 15	August 25, 2011	(961086)	Item 32	May 20, 2013	(1108568)
Item 16	October 18, 2011	(973139)	Item 33	June 20, 2013	(1112141)
Item 17	November 18, 2011	(979276)	Item 34	September 27, 2013	(1126849)
Item 18	December 14, 2011	(986106)	Item 35	October 20, 2013	(1137130)
Item 19	February 15, 2012	(999778)	Item 36	November 12, 2013	(1142551)
Item 20	March 20, 2012	(1005321)	Item 37	January 18, 2014	(1155064)
Item 21	May 18, 2012	(1018264)	Item 38	March 20, 2014	(1168998)
Item 22	June 15, 2012	(1025989)	Item 39	April 18, 2014	(1176182)
Item 23	July 20, 2012	(1033349)			

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

01/31/2014 (1162372)

CN600612758

Moderate

Self Report? YES Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

CN600612758

Date: Self Report? NO

09/30/2014 (1192885)

Classification:

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

page 9, Operational Requirements, No. 1 OP

Description:

Failure by the permittee to at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) installed or

used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the permit conditions.

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A